The percentage of Americans over the age of 65 is expected to increase to nearly 20% by 2030 [1]. This change will have significant effects on the economy, healthcare, and society in general. Aging is the highest risk factor for the majority of chronic diseases - including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, arthritis, and cancer [2]. While lifespan continues to rise, healthspan (the length of time someone is healthy) has increased more slowly and Americans are living longer with impaired health and disabilities [3]. Interventions are needed to improve the health and quality of life of the aging population, and studies show that the compression of morbidity is possible with lifestyle changes, pharmaceuticals, and continuous medical advances [2].

Inflammaging

Aging is associated with chronic, low-level, systemic inflammation (termed inflamming) that contributes to most, if not all, age-related diseases [4]. Older adults have higher serum levels of several pro-inflammatory cytokines/proteins such as IL-6, IL-1β, CRP, and TNF-α. Elevated levels of these molecules in circulation, most notably IL-6, are correlated with an increased risk of morbidity and mortality in elderly populations [5]. Furthermore, they are associated with sarcopenia, malnutrition, reduced bone density, diabetes, arthritis, atherosclerosis, cognitive decline, and other diseases of aging [6]. There is no consensus on the causes of inflamming, though it’s likely due to a host of factors that become dysregulated with age. These “hallmarks of aging” include reduced autophagy and mitophagy, accumulation of DNA and mtDNA damage leading to genomic instability, epigenetic changes, telomere shortening, cellular and immune senescence, dysbiosis, chronic antigenic stress, diminished proteostasis, altered metabolic signaling, stem cell exhaustion, increased cellular garbage, and mitochondrial dysfunction [7]. Specific details of the aging process and inflamming are beyond the scope of this article and we recommend a comprehensive review article for more information [7]. In this review article we focus on monocytes and their roles in diseases, aging, and exercise.
innate immune system and have several functions including phagocytosis, antigen presentation, and the secretion of anti- and pro-inflammatory cytokines [8]. Upon infection or tissue damage, they are extravasated into tissue and differentiate into macrophages or dendritic cells [9]. Monocytes have been divided into three subtypes based on their expression of the cell surface markers CD14 (a co-receptor with TLR4 for the detection of LPS), and CD16 (a FcγIII receptor that mediates antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity) [10]. Classical Monocytes (CD14+CD16-) have high levels of CD14 on their surface, little to no expression of CD16, and are the most plentiful type (~80-90%). Non-classical (CD14dimCD16-) and intermediate monocytes (CD14+CD16+) both express CD16, although non-classical monocytes have very low expression of CD16 whereas intermediate monocytes have high expression of CD14 [10]. It is important to note that prior to 2010, monocytes were primarily categorized into classical (CD14+CD16-) and inflammatory (CD14+CD16+) subtypes, and many manuscripts which will be discussed in the following sections used this classification.

In general, classical monocytes have been shown to be primarily involved in tissue repair, immune responses, migration to inflamed tissues, and phagocytosis [11]. They display the broadest range of cytokines in response to LPS, express the highest levels of genes involved in pattern recognition and phagocytosis (such as CD93, CD36, CD209, and CD163), exhibit high production of reactive oxygen species, and express high levels of chemotaxis genes CCR2, CXCR1, and CXCR2 [12]. During an inflammatory response CCL2 (MCP-1), which binds and activates CXCR2, is released from the bone marrow and promotes classical monocyte release into circulation [13]. Once in circulation the classical monocytes make their way to the site of inflammation and release pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1β [14]. During steady-state, classical monocytes have a very short circulating lifespan (~1 day), and approximately 1% transition into intermediate monocytes (~4 day lifespan), of which most eventually transition into non-classical monocytes (~7 day lifespan) [15].

Intermediate monocytes are thought to be a transitional population between the classical and non-classical subsets. Due to their diversity, intermediate monocytes have a wide range of functions and can display characteristics of both classical and non-classical monocytes. They are primarily involved in antigen presentation, phagocytosis, and parasite recognition [16, 17]. Additionally, they have generally been shown to be the most pro-inflammatory subset, producing high amounts of TNF-α and IL-1β. Furthermore, they are proportionally increased with age and in many chronic diseases [18].

Non-classical monocytes have high expression of the adhesion-related receptor CX3CR1 and display patrolling behavior within the vasculature, removing debris, rearranging the cytoskeleton, preventing tumor metastasis, and resolving inflammation [12]. Like intermediate monocytes they are also increased with age [18]. Recently, they were shown to display more signs of senescence than intermediate or classical monocytes, as they express the highest levels of NF-κB, IL-1α, and miR-146a, and have the shortest telomeres, all of which are associated with a senescence-associated secretory phenotype [19].

Monocytes and Aging

Although aging is multi-factorial, monocytes may very well play an essential role in aging pathology. Their heterogeneous and highly adaptable nature, ability to respond to pathogens and cellular garbage, communication with the adaptive immune system, and numerous defects with age make them a key contributor to inflammaging. This section will briefly describe how the inflammaging environment can affect monocyte phenotype and functions, for a more detailed description of all the changes that occur to monocytes with age we recommend this review article [20].

Older adults have higher circulating levels of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), IL-6, TNF-α, and other proinflammatory factors that dysregulate monocyte functions, which can in turn further progress inflammaging. For instance, mtDNA, which can act as a DAMP and activate monocytes via TLR-9, is increased with age and is positively correlated with TNF-α and IL-6 in the blood [21]. In vitro stimulation of monocytes from young adults with LPS and high mtDNA concentrations, similar to levels found in older adults, increased TNF-α production higher than by LPS alone [21]. Given that LPS is also elevated systemically with age, probably due to dysbiosis [22], microbiome changes are likely to be linked to dysregulated monocyte function and to contribute to the inflammaging environment.

Higher circulating TNF-α levels with age have been shown to cause multiple effects in monocyte phenotypes as well. TNF-α has been shown to induce the M1 (“pro-inflammatory”) phenotype in macrophages, and macrophage populations are skewed towards the M1 phenotype with age [23]. Additionally, TNF-α may skew monocytes towards a more pro-inflammatory phenotype, as systemic TNF-α is positively correlated with intermediate monocyte frequency, and aged TNF-α knock-out mice had similar levels of circulating pro-inflammatory monocytes as younger mice [24]. CD11b (ITGAM), an integrin involved in transendothelial migration and associated with atherosclerotic plaque formation, is increased in classical and non-classical monocytes with age [18]. While it’s unknown if TNF-α is primarily responsible for this altered expression in monocytes, it has been shown to increase CD11b expression in neutrophils in vivo and ex vivo, and CD11b+ neutrophils are positively correlated with plasma TNF-α [25].

Exercise

Given the above evidence suggesting that monocytes are important mediators of inflammatory and pathogen responses, and further that monocyte functions are dysregulated in aging and disease, therapies to combat monocyte dysfunc-
tion are of particular importance. Physical exercise has been known for decades to be highly beneficial for overall health. A variety of epidemiological and experimental studies have described the vast benefits of physical activity, and a full treatment of this literature is not possible here. For a broad overview of the topic, we refer readers to a recent review article covering the beneficial effects of exercise on preventing or treating a variety of age-related conditions [26].

Exercise and Immunity

The impact of exercise on immune function has been widely investigated since the mid-1980s. In the field of exercise immunology, an important distinction is often made between acute, or single bouts of exercise, compared to chronic exercise training. These modes can have disparate and often opposite effects on immunity, and these effects are also dependent on intensity and duration of both acute exercise bouts and chronic exercise training [27]. One of the earliest observations in the field of exercise immunology was of acute exercise-induced leukocytosis, an increase in the number of circulating white blood cells. Indeed, this leukocytosis was described as early as 1893 [28]. Much more recently, chronic exercise has been investigated as an anti-inflammatory intervention to treat or prevent inflammation-related chronic diseases [27]. Monocytes have been heavily investigated in both contexts, and Figure 1 summarizes the current consensus as to the differential effects of acute and chronic exercise on monocytes (Figure 1). Given space limitations, we will focus this review on the effects of chronic exercise, with special attention to studies examining monocytes in the aging context. For additional information on acute exercise, we refer the reader to a comprehensive review which, although earlier work by Woods et al. demonstrated that a 6 month exercise intervention in sedentary older adults did not alter total circulating monocyte numbers [35], an important paper by Timmerman et al. later demonstrated that exercise training in older adults decreased the proportion of CD16+ monocytes [36] (note this was prior to the establishment of the intermediate and non-classical CD16+ subpopulations). Several later works by the same group, still using the now-outdated “inflammatory” CD16+ monocyte definition, showed similar results in both endurance and resistant training [37-39]. To date, the effect of exercise training on monocyte populations using the modern definition has not been widely investigated, although it was recently demonstrated that exercise training reduced intermediate but not non-classical monocyte proportion in hemodialysis patients [40].

Exercise and Monocyte Receptor Expression

Exercise training has been somewhat more widely investigated for its effect on TLR expression, which is often used as a proxy for inflammation. In an aged population, exercise training reduced whole blood mRNA expression of TLR4 and of pan-monocyte marker CD14 [41]. Follow-up studies supported this, demonstrating reduced TLR4 protein expression on isolated leukocytes [42] and then on CD14+ monocytes [43] after resistance or combined exercise training respectively in older adults. McFarlin et al. continued this line of inquiry by demonstrating that exercise training reduced TLR4 protein expression on circulating leukocytes independent of subject age [44], and Timmerman et al. found that previously-active older adults had reduced TLR4 protein expression on classical (CD16+) monocytes compared to sedentary controls [36]. Additionally, both high intensity interval training and moderate intensity continuous exercise training were shown to reduce TLR4 but not TLR2 expression on blood monocytes [45]. Although the important characteristics of exercise training which underlie the control of monocyte receptor expression have not been elucidated, a study in obese mice suggests that the exercise training effect on monocyte TLR expression may be duration-dependent [46].

Exercise and Monocyte Subpopulations

Although many exercise studies have focused on the effects of acute exercise on monocyte phenotype and function, some studies have examined the effect of exercise training and how it can induce sustained or persistent changes in monocytes. Given the association between CD16+ monocyte subtypes and a variety of inflammatory diseases [29-31], the ability of exercise to alter subpopulation frequencies has naturally been of interest. A primary target for intervention has been the elderly, due to their higher frequency of CD16+ monocyte subpopulations [18] and their propensity to develop chronic inflammatory diseases [32-34]. Although exercise training in older adults decreased the proportion of CD16+ monocytes [36] (note this was prior to the establishment of the intermediate and non-classical CD16+ subpopulations). Several later works by the same group, still using the now-outdated “inflammatory” CD16+ monocyte definition, showed similar results in both endurance and resistant training [37-39]. To date, the effect of exercise training on monocyte populations using the modern definition has not been widely investigated, although it was recently demonstrated that exercise training reduced intermediate but not non-classical monocyte proportion in hemodialysis patients [40].

Exercise and Monocyte Function

As with acute exercise, the effect of exercise training on monocyte function has been a relatively active area of study. Results have been mixed depending on mode of exercise and subject population. For example, Nieman et al. found no effect of a 12-week walking intervention on phagocytosis or oxidative burst in monocytes in middle-aged obese women [47], while 10 weeks of either moderate exercise or high-intensity interval training in healthy sedentary adults improved the same parameters [48]. Voluntary wheel running in adult Balb/c mice has additionally been shown to have no effect on monocyte phagocytosis [49]. These differences may be attributable to differences in study population and/or mode or intensity of exercise. Several studies have also examined the effect of exercise on chemotactic properties of monocytes, with a diet + exercise intervention reducing monocyte adhesion and chemotactic activity in obese men [50] and a 3 week exercise intervention reducing monocyte adhesion in a similar study [51].

Multiple studies have demonstrated an exercise training-induced reduction in inflammatory cytokine production in resting and stimulated monocytes. Timmerman et al. found reduced LPS-stimulated TNFα production after 12 weeks of exercise training in older adults [36], similar to that found with high-intensity training in younger individuals [52]. Resistance training has likewise been shown to reduce TNFα, IL-1β, and IL-6 production in whole blood in older women [53]. In unstimulated monocytes, swimming exercise reduced spontaneous IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα release and increased release of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in fibromyalgia patients [54]. Similarly, a two-month aerobic exercise intervention reduced expression of genes related to inflammation and oxidative stress [55].

Finally, the field of immunometabolism (the study of the contribution of metabolic processes to immune cell function) has exploded in recent years [56]. Metabolic processes, especially with respect to mitochondrial metabolism, are known to be dysregulated during the aging process in a variety of tissues and cell types [7]. Indeed, in support of this we have recently demonstrated that aging impairs mitochondrial respiratory capacity in human monocytes [57]. Exercise has been shown to increase mitochondrial respiration in peripheral blood mononuclear cells [58] and thus is a potential mechanism by which exercise alters monocyte function in older adults. To date, this is speculative and bears further investigation.

Summary

A number of studies have examined monocyte phenotype and function in the context of a variety of exercise paradigms. To date several of these studies have focused on changes to monocytes as a result of consistent physical activity. Many of these have focused on aged populations, and exercise training-induced reductions in CD16+ monocyte populations and in LPS-stimulated cytokine release are now fairly well-supported. Reduced pattern recognition receptor expression, especially TLR4, on monocytes with exercise training also has convincing evidential support. The mechanisms by which exercise training mediate these changes are currently unknown, but many effects appear independent of diet or weight loss. Future research will be critical to determine the mechanism by which exercise training acts to alter monocyte phenotype and inflammation, which should allow for exercise therapies which are targeted to these responses to be developed. Additionally, few studies have compared multiple modes or intensities of exercise for their impact on monocyte phenotype or function. Therefore, although both aerobic and resistance exercise appear to be efficacious in modulating monocyte biology, future studies which shed light on this important variable will be important for exercise prescription purposes.
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